

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/675,399	09/29/2000	Carl Bilicska	Bilicska 3-2	9208
	590 08/20/2007 DICKEY & PIERCE,	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 8910 RESTON, VA 20195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED: 08/20/200	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	η,
Ì	Ĺ

Application No. Applicant(s) Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37) 09/675,399 BILICSKA ET AL. Examiner Art Unit

			7 0					
		Tony Mahmoudi	2165					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
The A	opeal Brief filed on <u>07 May 2007</u> is defective for	failure to comply with one or more	e provisions of 3	7 CFR 41.37.				
1205.0	oid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t NSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA	he mailing date of this Notification	ate correction (se n, whichever is l	ee MPEP onger.				
1. 🔲	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.							
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).							
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).							
4. 🛛	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).							
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))							
6. 🗌	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).							
7. 🗌	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).							
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).							
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decision identified in the Related Appeals and Interferent $41.37(c)(1)(x)$.	ons rendered by a court or the Bo oces section of the brief as an app	ard in the proceed pendix thereto (3	eding 7 CFR				
10.🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of t	he above items):						
	The Brief does not contain a concise explanation of involved in the appeal, referring to the drawings by referring the drawings by referring the drawings by the drawin	the subject matter defined in each of eference characters	the independent o	claims				
	The Brief, in paragraph III states that claims 1-14 are for the independent claims (claims 1 and 9). The Brief for each dependent claim is required if the dependent dependent claims not being appealed need to be remarked.	ief fails to argue each dependent claint claims are indeed being appealed moved from section III of the Appeal	im separately. As by the Brief. Othe brief.	enarate argument				
		Tony Malin	ronds.					

Patent Examiner

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-462 (Rev. 7-05)